Approved For Release 2005/01/31 : CIA-RDP79B01737A002000010052-2

SECRET

12 May 1972

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Some Thoughts on the Present Crisis

It is risky business, in a period of intensive private diplomacy, to try to analyse Soviet behavior from public statements and "the logic of the situation." Nevertheless, here are a few propositions.

- I. The Soviets calculate that the May summit will not take place.
 - A. They believe that the US President is unwilling to make the visit while the non-Communist position in South Vietnam is shaky and under heavy attack.
 - B. They are themselves unwilling to make the only move which might offset this US reluctance, i.e., pressure on North Vietnam so serious as to threaten an open break.
- II. This being the case, they prefer that the summit be postponed rather than cancelled, and in a regretful rather than hostile fashion.
 - A. This would do the least damage to the interests which they had hoped to advance at the meeting and which they will still wish to pursue at a later date.

SECRET

- B. It would also do the least damage to their European policy, which is separate from, but influenced by the course of, US-Soviet relations.
 - 1. In this respect, the hiatus in Bundestag action on the Soviet-FRG treaty is a strong argument for refraining from retaliation against the US for its actions in North Vietnam.
- III. These factors explain why, contrary to the expectations of many of us, the USSR did not initially react by withdrawing its invitation to the President.
- IV. Events of this magnitude, coming to climax simultaneously, are almost certain to cause reverberations within the collective leadership.
 - A. Brezhnev, personally identified with the innovations in policy toward the US and West Germany, is likely to be in trouble.
 - B. It is in his interest to salvage as much as possible via a ratification of the German-Soviet treaty and a summit postponement arranged and announced with a minimum of rancor.
 - c. But if events move against him--a Bundestag vote against the treaty, or further US escalation in Vietnam (or, worst of all, both--his instinct for self-preservation could lead him to swing over and try to put himself at the head of the opposing tendency in Soviet policy.

SECRET

- V. While waiting on these contingencies, the USSR will try to keep things on an even keel.
 - A. The 11 May statement sets a viable line for this period--condemnation of the US action, but without interpreting it as a challenge to the USSR; pro forma reiteration of support for Hanoi; silence on the summit.
 - The corresponding decisions on concrete actions would be:
 - No challenge to the minefields.
 - 2. Only formal protests of damage to Soviet ships
 - No demonstrative surge in military aid to the DRV.
 - 4. No major public statements unless new events require them.
 - 5. Lots of private diplomacy with the US.
 - The Soviets hope that, if there is to be no May summit, they will not have to be the ones to break it off.
 - Their first choice would be an agreed postponement.
 - Second choice is a US postponement.
 - Third is Soviet withdrawal of the invitation.
 - Brezhnev would feel forced to this if the US escalated further but still proceeded openly

down the summit track.

Approved For Release 2005/01/31 : CIA-EDP79B01737A002000010052-2

25X1

SECRET

- He would be under pressure to do it in a
 harsh way that would usher in a cold period in
 Soviet-US relations, at least until after November.
 VII. The North Vietnamese would like to see the last of these
 outcomes materialize.
 - A. They do not like the mining or the attacks on the rail lines.
 - B. Nor are they happy with the way in which their allies are wavering.
 - C. But they do not feel themselves defeated, and their whole history suggests that they will react by increasing their battlefield pressures, hoping
 - 1. to win as big a victory as possible,
 - 2. to abort the summit, and
 - 3. to force their allies to recommit themselves to the support of Hanoi.